

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes () No
Requestor Name and Address The San Antonio Ortho Surgery Center 400 Concord Plaza, Suite 200 San Antonio, TX 78216	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-2844-01
	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent Name and Address Arch Insurance Co. Rep. Box: 19	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: DLP Group Inc
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 9609992

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
08-14-03	08-14-03	29899 – Anthroscopy, ankle	\$1000.00	00.00
08-14-03	08-14-03	29898 – Anthroscopy, ankle, debridement	\$1000.00	00.00
		Total Due		00.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier reimbursed \$1118.00 each for CPT Codes 29899 and 29898; this is not fair and reasonable reimbursement. Carrier should reimburse each of the CPT codes an additional \$1000.00.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Reimbursement is fair and reasonable and in accordance with TWCC guidelines, policies and rules, and the Texas Labor Code. The payment made is based upon the bill reviewer's database regarding outpatient surgery charges for the area. Ambulatory surgery is less intensive than inpatient surgery; commission set per diem surgery rate is \$1118.00. The procedure was completed in less than 3 hours and the patient discharged in less than one day. Requestor has failed to prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable, therefore is not entitled to further reimbursement.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 192.6% to 256.3% of Medicare for 2003). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the medium area of the Ingenix range. In addition the reimbursement for the secondary procedures were reduced by 50% consistent with standard reimbursement approaches. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team

considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual case.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

Findings and Decision by:

07/19/05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on _____. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: _____ Date: _____